



*The Non-Muslim Soldier's Handbook on Islam—Basics of Islam, Your Commanders Very Likely Neglected to Tell You About*

This is just what the title states it is: The Non-Muslim Soldier's Handbook on Islam—Basics of Islam, Your Commanders Very Likely Neglected to Tell You About.

*Part one*

*Groundwork:*

*Identifying the enemy*

*Mujahid: Someone who is active and fights for Islam. A Muslim fighter.*

*Mujahideen: plural of Mujahid*

*(Copied from Glossary of Islamic and Arabic Term, DivineIslam's Qur'an Viewer software v2.910)*

Since knowing ones enemy is central to any victory in war, and because Islam and those that adhere to that faith are at the heart of this war; because many of you soldiers will be dealing with and living around Muslims; for your own safety, it is paramount, you grasp the basics of Islam, and basic orders to all Muslims.

***To be informed is to be armed; to be uninformed (ignorant) is to be vulnerable; which do you choose to be?***

In my study of Islam and the people of it, I have made a good friend or two. I highly value all the friendships I have made with those born into Islam. Those, whom were raised on it, and yet, blest with the courage, the will, and the wisdom to reject it.

One of those friends still lives in an Islamic nation. Has lived there all his life. What's more, "Kaled," unlike what must be said to the "so-called" moderates, when he speaks of Islam, what he states of it is verifiable. Verifiable by the Islamic Sacred Text. Verifiable by the lives of the true keepers of the Islamic faith.

Kaled is an apostate Muslim. He's one that would like to see the grip of Islam broken. See the *mujihadeen*, and the goal they and their political supporters pursue, destroyed. In

other words, Kaled roots for those who fight against the *mujihadeen* and those who advance Islam.

In completing this manual, Kaled was amongst those I asked to review it. In his review, commenting on our efforts in Iraq, he made the following comments (Arabic being his native language, English, just a second language):

*Your error in Iraq[sic] that you give all the power to your enemy and their supporters*

*Your errors that you train your enemy and teach him how he can kill your troops*

*Your error is that you invaded secular country and turn it to be religious country (Islamic country)*

*Your errors that you replace one devil (Saddam) with many devils (religious leaders)*

*Your errors that you use American blood to promote Islam*

Those errors should have never been. They were secondary to the choice in our leaders to disregard the facts on what drives this enemy.

**How do you get to know someone?**

You find out what makes them tick. What fuels them. What dreams compel them--in other words, central to knowing anyone is discovering the convictions and beliefs that individual holds.

I think we all know the fuel Muslims run on is that found in the Islamic teachings. What we seem “confused” and ignorant of is just what Islamic teachings and precepts are.

Assuming, Guys, most of you have had little time to dive into a comprehensive study of the religion that is the religion of those whom you are sent to war against, live amongst, and often, take from Islamic ranks, “trusted allies; aware, of the unattended need for a Soldier’s Candid Course on Islam, I’ve undertaken providing just that.

So let’s get to it, find out, just **what are those Islamic precepts?**

One of those precepts in Islam is that all Muslims must memorize the Qur’an. Then, with that done, daily they must recite what they have memorized.

Considering that Islamic tenet, it is safe to say, what is written in the Qur'an is what is written in the minds and hearts of the Muslim.

That is so for the obvious reason: daily the Muslim recites content from the Qur'an. What's more, he is also informed of the Islamic command; if you don't know what's in that book, ask those that do; then obey.

Hence this *ayat* (verse):

016.043 وَمَا أَرْسَلْنَا مِنْ قَبْلِكَ إِلَّا رَجَالًا نُوحِي إِلَيْهِمْ فَاسْأَلُوا أَهْلَ الذِّكْرِ إِنْ كُنْتُمْ لَا تَعْلَمُونَ  
016.043 "So ask of those who know . . .if you know not."

*Al-Qur'an, 016.043 (An-Nahl [The Bee])*

*Text Copied from DivineIslam's Qur'an Viewer software v2.910*

Hence, this excerpt from page 17 the of Ahmad ibn Naqib al-Misri's, book, *Reliance of the Traveler, Classic Manual of Islamic Sacred Law*, translated by. Nuh Ha Min Keller, one of the most widely venerated books in Islamic ranks, everywhere:

"Ask those who recall if you know not." (Koran 16:43)

*By consensus of all scholars . . . this verse is an imperative for someone who does not know a ruling in Sacred Law . . . to follow someone who does. Virtually all scholars of fundamentals of Islamic law have made this verse their principle evidence that it is obligatory for the ordinary person to follow the scholar who is a mujtahid.*

From the same book, pages 16 and 625, we see that a *mujtahid* is one with *ijtihad*. *Ijtihad* is, in short, the ability to issue "expert legal opinion on matters of Sacred [Islamic] Law."

In sum, *Ijtihad* is "being qualified to perform legal reasoning," that is based on ones "knowledge of the rules and principles of the Koran, the *sunnah* . . . *hadith* . . . as well as knowledge of the scholarly consensus."

Thus, a *mujtahid* is one versed with knowledge of the Islamic Sacred Texts. One, who, in his advising others on how they ought to resolve all issues, he does so "applying the Koranic and hadith primary texts."

Given, all soldiers serving in Muslim armies are under the orders and the leadership of their Islamic Commanders and *Imams*--commanders and *Imams* who possess the above qualifications; you deserve to know what those leaders, if they are good Muslims, are telling the soldiers that your leaders then order you to take and trust as allies.

Point made, from one avenue or from another, all Muslims know, they must follow what they know is in Allah's word or what they are told is there from those that do know. "Didn't know" does not count.

Hence, back to Allah's word we go:

Hand in hand with the daily review (daily recitations) of all Qur'anic *ayah* (plural for ayat, "verses") memorized, Muslims must do as Allah commanded Muhammad to do. Because, according to Islam, Muhammad obeyed Allah in all things. Thus was the example all are to follow in all things. As such, because Muhammad lived out what he recited, the Muslims are to do the same.

The following ayah establishes the above doctrine of one reciting and then putting into practice that which one has put to memory:

075.016 لَا تُحْرِكْ بِهِ لِسَانَكَ لِتَعْجَلَ بِهِ

075.016 Move not thy tongue concerning the (Qur'an, O Muhammad [SAW]) to make haste therewith.

075.017 إِنَّ عَلَيْنَا جَمْعَهُ وَقُرْآنَهُ

075.017 It is for Us to collect it and to give you (O Muhammad [SAW]) the ability to recite it (The Qur'an),

075.018 فَإِذَا قُرَأَتْهُ فَاتَّبِعْ قُرْآنَهُ

075.018 And when **We have recited it to you**, [O Muhammad ([sic] SAW) through Jibrael (Gabriel)], **then follow you its (the Qur'an's) recital.**

*Al-Qur'an, 075.016-019 (Al-Qiyama [The rising of The dead, resurrection])*

*Text Copied from DivineIslam's Qur'an Viewer software v2.910*

Confirming, and reiterating the above Islamic commandment is the consensus (the unanimous opinion agreed upon) of the learned on Islam, the revered Scholars of it, spelling out that order, here, in the Shariah Law:

*“Recite the Koran and contemplate its meaning. . . . **Do your utmost to memorize the Holy Koran by acts as you do by words.**” (Keller, Reliance. p. 804)*

In other words, Allah wants his *Ummah* (his community), not only aware of his words, he wants them, literally, walking around and uttering them, if not audibly at all time, then at least in deed, at all times.

Given that, since many of you will work amongst Allah’s *Ummah*, seems basic, every non-Muslim soldier ought to know what the Muslim is reciting, often seen chanting; and, if they are good Muslims, when not audibly reciting the Qur’anic text, they will sure to be *memorizing* what Allah’s word states, by their actions. Actions, which, for good Muslims, must all line up perfectly with what they recited from the Qur’an.

From Prime Minister Maliki on down the line of Muslims you will work with, it is paramount you all understand the database of information that determines what the Muslim does and why he does what he does.

Being unaware of what all good Muslims are obligated to do, far too many of your comrades became the easy prey and thus the victims to the Allah-obedient.

Unlike what many of your leaders (from your Commander in Chief on down) have told you about the nobleness of Islam, the facts on it tell quite another story.

You all deserve to know the realities of the fuel driving this war; that fuel is the teachings spelled out in the “Islamic Sacred Text.”

### *The Fuel the Enemy Runs on*

I know--not many theologians in the ranks, right. I get it. Nonetheless, ignorance is no bargain; it is the road to death. Thus, unless you are just a glutton for punishment, with little care for your life or that of your comrades, stay with me through this.

You--every Non-Muslim soldier--must know what governs the Muslims that surround you.

Why your leaders have left you uninformed on just that beats me. Nonetheless, since they have, I aim to cure you of your deficit there--The info you get here could save your life and the same of countless others.

I promise to make this easy for you to understand, digest and get.

I promise to move you through it as quickly as possible, and yet still, firmly pack into you, what you must get. I promise you, once you have read and assimilated the info herein; you will be a better Soldier for the time taken here, with a much better understanding of what you are working with and for; as well as what is working against you and the success of the Bush mission.

Allah's word governs the minds of all good Muslims. Allah's word in turn makes the Islamic hearts, minds, and thus cultures what they are.

And though Bush fails to state the reality on the role that Allah's word plays in this war; facts show, your biggest enemy--the core reason why many of the Iraqis (Muslims) you are supposed to "liberate," see and treat you as the enemy--and the greatest opposing force to the success of your mission (Bush plan) is Allah's word.

Because of that, if you are a non-Muslim soldier, you must know what is in Allah's word.

To save your own skin, to possibly increase the odds of the success in the mission you are charged with; and should all of our investments, in the end, show to have only armed and fortified a nation that spawns mass *jihadist*; from Private to General, to understand the reason why that was so, you must know Allah's word.

Ignorance on the basics of Islam is indeed an option, but it is, nonetheless, an unwise choice.

One fundamental message in Allah's word is, to Allah, **ignorance of his laws exempts no one from being accountable to those laws**. No one.

Allah's laws were to all humankind. The reason for justification of that law is seen in all the above commands (recite, act out the recitation, if you don't know, ask them that do) and more, and that law is stated in Islam.

So then, because the Islamic Sacred Texts (which is where the Law of Allah springs from) provide the database from which most in Islamic nations then function from and according to, once more, we go there.

We'll start with this *ayat* from the Qur'an, a basic order to all--**not some, not the radicals, nor the militant Muslims, but to all--Muslims**:

009.029 قَاتِلُوا الَّذِينَ لَا يُؤْمِنُونَ بِاللَّهِ وَلَا بِالْيَوْمِ الْآخِرِ وَلَا يُحَرِّمُونَ مَا حَرَّمَ اللَّهُ وَرَسُولُهُ وَلَا يَدِينُونَ دِينَ الْحَقِّ مِنَ الَّذِينَ أُوتُوا الْكِتَابَ حَتَّى يُعْطُوا الْجِزْيَةَ عَنْ يَدٍ وَهُمْ صَاغِرُونَ  
009.029 **Fight those who believe not in Allah nor the Last Day, nor hold that forbidden which hath been forbidden by Allah and His Messenger, nor acknowledge the religion of Truth, (even if they are) of the People of**

*the Book, until they pay the Jizya with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued.*

*Al-Qur'an, 009.029 (At-Tawba [Repentance, Dispensation])*

*Text Copied from DivineIslam's Qur'an Viewer software v2.910*

Soldier, those above lines and orders are the fuel this enemy runs on; those orders represent the **central message and goal of the Islamic faith**; they represent mainstream Islam—the shortest, yet fullest and accurate spill on it at least.

Kaled, commenting on that verse said, when he was in school, he recalled memorizing that verse. He said that verse had one message to him and his Saudi classmate friends. To them he said it meant, “That I have to fight all non-Muslims, believe me no other meaning for that.”

I have to agree with him.

Moreover, he also said, “I remember that we (me and my friends) understand these verses in this way, and nobody said that we were wrong.”

Kaled and his friend were average Muslim boys growing up on Islam, in a nation guided by it. Kaled is a product of the same schools that produced UBL. Both men are products of Islam. Both “boys” understood the above message. Both, Usama and Kaled, took that message to heart. In one of those hearts, the truth in that message caused one to reject the religion that message defines; in the other heart, that message caused a man to support the 9/11 assault on our nation, and all assaults on it prior to then and since then.

(Stay with me; don't you dare check out—this info could save your life someday.)

Thus the importance that we non-Muslim Westerners grasp the full message contained in the above *ayat*.

Yet to fully grasp the full message--which clearly states the goal of Islam and the lifelong duty of all true Muslims—in the above concise command; a central theme in Islam, mind you; which, when read or recited, its message is not only conveyed to but fully understood by Muslims; we must enter Islam--get deep into its pages.

### ***The House Called Islam***

Islam is like a house. The Qur'an being the front door.

We can stand at the door. Someone can tell us all about what is behind that door. Nevertheless, unless we enter the house for ourselves, go from room to room and see, for ourselves, the contents and occupants of that house, as far as our knowledge of what lies beyond the door, we are at the mercy of those informing us.

Thus, with Islam, it is mandated; if we are to understand it, if we are to speak about it, then, we do so only because we have walked the corridors of the house of Islam. If we speak of Islam, we do so, having searched through its dens, its living rooms, its cellars, its libraries, its prayer chambers--you name it, we searched it out, we laid eyes on it. Thus from that factual base, we speak, and we act.

This having-laid-eyes-on-the-facts mandate is especially underscored when we bear in mind, all too often, to the shame of many of our leaders, even “the best” of our own leaders misinform us.

If that is not bad enough, add to that all-too-regular and reprehensible source of misinformation, the fact that, if the misinformation serves Islamic goals, then misinformation is a tenet of the Islamic faith.

Yes, that means just what it states: **misinformation is a practice of those that adhere to that faith.**

Misinformation is a weapon in their hands; one you can disable—if, that is, you arm yourself with accurate information and facts.

All too often, Soldier, because it is a tenet of their faith, our “Muslim allies,” will misinform us to their hearts content—all the more reason, you must know of these basics of Islam.

The permissibility for the Muslims to use misinformation, in addition to other tenets of Islamic faith concerning permissible deceit (which we cover here later), falls under the Islamic tenet of faith called, ***Nikayah: doing whatever will weaken the enemy.***

That tenet is one contained and declared in the rulings regarded as “Sacred.” In this case, rulings, or ***Fatwas*** of the learned on Islam, the agreement of the Consensus of the Revered Islamic Scholars.

The Lexicon Glossary on Islam defines and expounds on the consensus of ***Nikayah*** this way:

*lexicon*

<http://clarityandresolve.com/lexicon.php#n>

*N*

***nikayah***: the Islamic **concept of doing whatever is necessary to weaken the infidel enemy, to protect Islam, and to aid in its expansion.** The ulema generally **agree upon its validity and necessity**, and it is used to justify attacks on the infidels in which women, children, and other noncombatants may be killed.

Ibn al-Qasim [Muhammad; Arab jihad commander who conquered Indian Sindh —ed.] said, "...it is permissible to use the Catapult against **Kuffar** even if children, women and old men and monks are killed inadvertently, **because Nikayah (doing what will weaken the enemy) is allowed according to the consensus of the Ulamaa.**" Ibn Rushd [Muhammad; known as Averroes in the West —ed.] said: "Nikayah is permissible according to Ijma and on any type of polytheists." (**Al-Hashiyah ala' Ar-Raudh, vol. 4, p 271**)

My Saudi friend and contact, "Kaled," who was born into and raised on Islam, affirms the above on **Nikayah**. In addition, he adds this:

*Nikayah [sic]very important word for non-Muslims*  
***Nikayah means kill non-muslims in very bad way to make other fear Muslims, just like what [sic] happing in Iraq against yours [sic] troops***  
***It's allowed for muslims to use this(Nikayah) to destroy the souls of the enemy***

In this limitless arsenal of *Nikayah* is the Islamic weapon of cunningness that the Muslim is to use on every front possible. True to its name, when used skillfully and to the above outline of its limits, it is a weapon specifically designed to weaken and eventually destroy the will to fight in the enemies of Allah, which you are, if you are non-Muslim.

Some of the avenues in which *Nikayah* is used begin with Allah's ummah taking unbelievers as their allies. Feigned friendships—ruses, we all too easily fall for.

Such an alliance then grants the Muslims easy access to the information of the forces opposing the goal and will of Allah's ummah.

***(Recall, that goal and duty of the ummah is to see Islam dominate the world.***

***As far as we know, it is the will of our nation to disallow, and not aid, the fruition of the will of the Allah's ummah.***

*As we will see later, in the Ummah, Allah considers all his followers as potential combatants. The mujihadeen are the fighting forces representing the Nation of Islam, which is Allah's ummah, which in short means, the collective group of all good Muslims.*

*Kaled, commenting on the above puts it this way: "Mujihadeen is armed party in Islam. Those who [sic] so-called moderate is political party. They [these two indispensable factions of Islam] help each other."*

*In other words, the mujihadeen and the "moderates of Islam" all, following and employing the tenets of Islam, work together and toward the same goal—the goal of Islam.*

*They do so, just as our fighting forces and our elected Representatives all—we trust-- work in harness for the safety and well being of our nation.*

*Just as you—the America Soldier, Marine, Sailor, or Airman --are the trained warriors representing the United States of America, and thus your specialty area is war fighting; the mujihadeen are the same, just representing their nation, the Nation of Islam, irrespective of what nation the mujahid calls "home."*

*And the "moderates" in Islam are those kin to our elected Representatives: those skilled in the political realm. Working that area to advance their goals.*

*The Prophet of Islam, Muhammad, continuously worked both those areas masterfully.)*

The "Moderate" in the *ummah* are most useful soldiers in attaining these feigned alliances and then the pined for crucial inside information from non-Islamic Armies and nations. Once they have it, they use it, passing the information on down through the ranks of the *ummah*.

That gives all in the Nation of Islam the unequivocal benefit yielded from masterfully placed fifth columns: secrets of our war plans and of our nation, whispered in trust, as it were, to masked enemies of our nations--Muslims, strategically planted by the *ummah*, serving as Allah's ears, inside or with our government agencies, for the benefit of Allah's *Ummah*.

And for the victory of the same.

These plants live and working undetected within the "camp" of the enemies of Allah. Notably, the western concoction known as the "Moderate Muslim" is an illusion that facilitates the weapon of *Nikayah* handedly.

All the above and more, is clearly spelled out in the word of Allah, as we will soon see. All the above and more, is confirmed by our history with the *ummah*, and their actions within that relationship.

Our pretending that this basic tenet of Islam is not so has been deeply costly to us. And we will continue to rack up the bitter ugly cost of that elected blindness, only, that is, if we choose to continue to pretend Islam is something other than the facts on it prove it to be.

Thus, as I stated earlier, ignorance of Islam, and thus vulnerability to the adherents of it, is indeed an option for you, but with this study at your fingertips, that ignorance and vulnerability is now easily banishable.

Once you have the info herein digested, you too will see, the core cause for most, if not all, of our failures thus far in Iraq (and in this war) is found in the fact that the goal of Islam, which is the goal of all good Muslims and the duty of the same, directly opposes all of our goals.

The goal of Islam is ending all other religions in the world, and bringing all to live by and answerable to the Universal Law of Allah.

Such a goal annuls free choice. Respect for Allah's law is paramount and enforced. Respect for the individual, based only on the individuals respect for Allah's laws. Hence, Islam tosses out the window and on its head, the concept of free societies--It shatters it.

For too long, our leaders have disregarded the facts on Islam and those that follow its tenets. Because of that, many of ours died ghoulis deaths at the hands of the devotees to Allah.

Yet for all that, in our war plans, we only address "insurgencies," but make no plans for addressing the reality of the orders written in the hearts and minds of the millions of Muslims in Iraq and elsewhere.

Now the new plan includes plans for many of our troops being sent in to live in these Muslim neighborhoods; and your leaders make that decision, seemingly, pretending Allah's words are something other than what fact shows Allah's words to be. Thus pretending, the Muslim is something other than what Allah's word shows a Muslim to be.

Indeed, that is deeply troubling, to state the least.

Even more reason, then, though many of your superiors would seemingly choose to leave you either ignorant or flat out misinformed on Islam, I will not. I hope you too will elect reality in view, understood, and grasped, instead of willful blindness and harmful needless ignorance on it.

With that said, Let's examine the orders of the *ayat* above:

1. **Fight those who believe not in Allah nor the Last Day, nor hold that forbidden which hath been forbidden by Allah and His Messenger.**
2. **Fight them “until they pay the Jizya with willing submission, and feel themselves subdued.”**

Did every body get that?

Only a leap from reality allows one to fail to see, Soldier, if you are a non-Muslim, then you are one whom Allah has ordered his followers to fight against. Moreover, they—all Muslims--are to fight against you, only relenting when you are part of the submissive subjects to Islam whom are mentioned above.

With such a mountain of facts that abound that show Islam is the fountainhead, fuel and the enemy’s playbook for this war, it is unconscionable that part of your basic pre-deployment training does not include informing you on the facts of Islam.

Because it is paramount that you understand Islam so that you too can understand the millions in the Islamic communities you are soon to be, or already are, immersed in, you must get all in this comprehensive yet condensed crash course on the basics of Islam.

This should help you do just that:

- a. **The Qur’an** is the book of Allah.
- b. **A Muslim** is one who obeys what is written in **the Kitab Allah** (the book of Allah).

Part of what is written in that book, declares, a Muslim must declare the oneness of Allah (*tawhid*) and affirm that Muhammad is the Messenger of Allah. A **Muslim must act on all the instructions, commands and the examples set by Muhammad.**

A Muslim must acknowledge that Muhammad's actions are Allah's actions. **Declaring the oneness of Allah is not enough.** Unless one **also declares that Muhammad is the last Messenger of Allah, one is not a Muslim.**

Obeying Muhammad is not good enough. A Muslim must love Muhammad more than he loves anyone else. **He must be prepared to murder even his parents and siblings, if they oppose the views of Islam and criticizes Muhammad.**

*(Now, take this description of what a Muslim is; with it, refer back to the orders in the above ayat; that makes the message in that ayat impeccably clear.*

*That message is, anyone who fails to lineup with the above narration that defines a Muslim, **all Muslims are then Allah-ordered to fight against.** Because, **failing to qualify as a true Muslim, only leaves one other camp for one be in.** That camp is the camp of “unbelievers.’*

*That means, Soldier, if you are in that lot of “unbelievers,” **you are then one whom every Muslim in Iraq has orders from Allah to harm, subject, and kill.***

*That is simple Qur’an and Sunnah verifiable fact.)*

- c. The Qur’an falls flat on its face, absent **the Sunnah of the Prophet**; hence, the Islamic statement, “Islam stands on two legs,” one, leg being **the Qur’an**, the other, **the Sunnah of the prophet**.
- d. **The Sunnah of the Prophet** is a vast number of books and volumes. By no means, one book. Sunnah, in the simplest translation, means, “habit, practice, customary procedure, or, action, norm and usage sanctioned by tradition.” (*Glossary of Islamic and Arabic terms*, Copied from DivineIslam's Qur'an Viewer software v2.910)

The Sunnah here when mentioned refers to the sayings, practices, and living habits of the Prophet Muhammad.

**The Sunnah** is essential for all who would understand Islam, given, it confirms, interprets, explains, and at other times specifies just what is stated in the Qur’an. (*You can relax, Soldier, there is no written test after this . . . this is just information, you must get.*)

- e. **The ahadith** are reports on the Sunnah. What the Prophet witnessed and approved of. They are literal explanations and the living examples of what was the norm for the Prophet. Many of the ahadith are in the Sunnah. Other ahadith are in **the Sira**, which gives us the chronological info on the life of the Prophet.
- f. In addition to the above works, if one is to completely understand Islam, one must have the commentaries on the Qur’an, **the tafsirs**. Ibn Kathir’s, one of the most widely revered and thus like mannered referred to in Islamic ranks.
- g. All of the above sources, as well as the *al-Ijma* (The Consensus of Opinions), are then reference sources that the Shariah Law is based on.

That is the short spill on what is included in the “*Kitab Allah.*”

I can say much more on all the works that are included in the Islamic “Sacred Texts” and I do just that in a Chapter of the book I am working hard at; it’s called “down the river.” Once it is completed and in print, I will post that news here. Watch for it.

But for now, the above provides us with a solid enough base to proceed on into the details and the commands of basic Islam, which includes pages from every one of the above works.

## *Part Two: The “Muslim”*

### *“Good” Muslim, “Bad” Muslim-- What are you looking at?*

Hint: their works define them.

As touched on in the previous chapter, those who live “in the house of Islam,” those that follow the rules, orders, and guidelines of the *Kitab Allah* and the *sunnah* of the Prophet are Muslims. Muslims form Islamic families, communities, cultures, and nations. Obviously, many born into all those entities will be good pure Muslims. Others will be “Muslims” who are “Muslims” in name only.

Allah addressed both those. Therefore, we will also do that here.

In the Muslim communities of Iraq, or in any Islamic community, you are looking at one out of three classes of people: the devout Muslim, the apostates, or the hypocrites.

Being brief here, here is how those three break down:

1. The devout Muslim is one such as Maliki, al Sadr, as was, Abu Musab Al-Zarqawi.

In other words, they are those who live up to what it really means to be a Muslim. They are those, who fulfill, to the letter, the requirements for all Muslim--basic requirements, which you read early in this Manual.

They live as Allah ordered them to. Obeying him in all things. As we know, that means, mimicking the actions of the Prophet in all they do.

2. Next group is what Allah calls the “hypocrites.”

These are those, who, to save their necks, follow many of the Islamic tenets, but reject many of those they find “inhumane” and down right foolish or ghoulish.

In this group are many who stay in Islam because it is the only avenue they know of in which they can approach the Almighty, and do so free from the threat of death.

Therefore, they filter out much of what they abhor. They say their prayers; they fast Ramadan, they practice kindness to others, and if they are females, they wear their *hijab* and their *abaya*. They do their best to obey all non-violent laws of Islam. They do so, in hopes that, somewhere, there is a God above all Gods, who is looking down on them, hearing their prayers, seeing their good deeds, taking note of their desire to commune with Him.

That group is kin to one with only one vehicle available. Irrespective of what that vehicle is, notwithstanding your loath for it, if you want to get to town, that is one you take, because it alone is the one you have the keys to.

So is Islam in the lives of countless in many Islamic nations.

My Saudi friend tells me, there are countless in the ranks of the “hypocrites” who are well aware of all the raw orders for savageness in Islam; they are ashamed others are now discovering those orders and those examples; they are ashamed of the Prophet’s actions.

Nevertheless, in Islam, for all who dare to state anything uncomplimentary of the Prophet, the orders for such are, “kill them.”

3. The third class of people seen in Islamic communities is that of the Apostates.

All these must mask the fact they have rejected Islam. Given, Allah’s orders for those who would like to leave Islam for another religion, or no religion at all, are the same as those for the hypocrite: give them a chance to repent, if they fail to show a convincing return to Islam, kill them.

Clearly, those “Muslims” in the second and the third classes noted above are prisoners of Islam.

What’s more, because they live, captive to it, fearful of those in class one, the true pure Muslims; most hypocrites and apostates will still pay the *zakat* tax that is obligatory for the believers, as well as paying alms (*sadaqah*) “to the poor, which is incumbent upon the faithful.”

One might say, well, where is the harm in leaving those obvious prisoners to Islam just as they are? They are the ones we can call “Moderates,” right? What is the harm in that?

Beyond the obvious discomfort common to one whom is a captive, is the fact that the charitable funds mentioned above (that all Muslims give to), the ummah then uses those funds to help “the faithful against the polytheists.” Because, as the Prophet established,

“He who pays that to the Messenger of God has the protection of God and His Messenger.” Conversely, “He who holds back from it is the enemy of God and His Messenger.”

[*The History of Tabari, Vol. IX, The Last Years of the Prophet*, Translated and Annotated by Ismail K. Poonawala. P. 75.]

Confirming that use of charitable funds are the facts listed in numerous court cases against Islamic Charity Organizations right here in our nation. So too, the rulings listed in the Shariah, which state, *zakat* is given to “a person who is poor or short of money.”

Given to him, “as much as needed of tools and materials.”

Clearly, the mujihadeen’ tools and materials qualify for the use of the Islamic charitable funds. As we read here from the Shariah, describing the seventh category of the legal recipients of *zakat*, “Those fighting for Allah.”

The scholars’ added notes there include, “those fighting for Allah, meaning people engaged in Islamic military operations for whom no salary has been allotted in the army roster . . . but who are volunteers for jihad.”

It further declares, that those jihadist are given “enough . . . for the operation, even if [the mujahid is] affluent; of weapons, mounts, clothing, and expenses . . . for the duration . . . even if they [the duration of operations] are prolonged.”

One more instance wherein *zakat* and the “weak” Muslim, the hypocrite, or the apostate intersects is the fourth ruling of those who qualify as recipients of these charitable Islamic funds. They are those “whose hearts are to be reconciled.”

Those, “(with weak Islamic intentions) whose Islam may be expected to improve.” As well as those “who fight an enemy for us [the Ummah] at considerable expense and trouble to themselves.”

In short, the actions and the loyalty of these “weak” Muslims can often be purchased for the right price. Moreover, Islam obligates the leaders in it to use the *zakat* funds for such purchased “faith.” (*Keller, Reliance*. pp. 266-272)

That too, simply sunnah of the Prophet; a practice of purchasing loyalty to Muhammad and his religion that was instituted by the Prophet of Islam himself, thus it is sunnah in Islam to this day. (*Tabari, Vol. IX, and elsewhere*.)

Notwithstanding all these facts in sight, still, in all of our war plans, we have no plans to break free these obvious prisoners of Islam.

In all of our “counter-insurgency plans,” we have no plan to protect these who clearly reject Islam. Fact is, not only do we run from undertaking freeing the victims of Islam, but by making that election, we actually tighten the noose on the necks of these prisoners, as we spend, standing up governments that promote and execute the harsh inhumane laws of Islam.

Hence, more often not, we leave the “weak” Muslim as the easy prey of the good pure Muslim--his “heart” and loyalties up for grabs. Often, that means, his service to the good Muslim is available for the right price (often, that service entails acts of jihad). Worse yet, we leave them to be slain by the pure Muslim for refusing to be so bought.

What’s more, it is these “weak” Muslims, who welcome our help. Show their appreciation to us, aim, at times, to work with us; show, at times, they feel safe when you, our troops, are around them. They trust you, they even tell so, more than they trust many of the Iraqi forces.

Why? Because they know, what typically guides you is far different from what guides the Muslim.

Because of that reality, we read reports from Iraq such as those I read the morning of writing these lines. They told of our troops in Yusifiyah, Iraq. The Army Times Article quoted one, Lt. Philip Sanabria, of the 2/14 2<sup>nd</sup> Brigade, 10<sup>th</sup> Mountain Division stating, “I think the innocent people like that we are here.” (*Army Times*, “A mighty fortress,” by Michelle Tan. 26 February 2007.)

A Pfc. from the same unit added, “Some of them are thankful we are here. They are afraid to express it but they are grateful. . . . Some of them live in fear . . . they get threatened a lot so they are afraid to talk to us.”

Why is that fear so prevalent? Why is it so controlling?

Because these locals know what the *Kitab Allah* and the *sunnah* of the Prophet states is the due reward for all who aid unbelievers--It calls them hypocrites and traitors, enemies of Allah, and thus enemies of Allah’s faithful true believers. The punishment due such, in a word, horrific (as we will soon read).

Yet, knowing these facts, what do our leaders do? What do they do to help these prisoners and victims of Islam? What do they do in the face of this brutal war plan called Islam that aims to subjugate or destroy all who reject it?

Our leaders just pretend Islam is not what it is. What’s more, they support a government that has as its law, the Law of the *Kitab Allah* and of the ahadith Prophet.

Notwithstanding the fact that the Non-Muslim soldier, as well as the “Muslim” soldier who rejects the way of the Prophet, pays dearly for this disabling fearfulness and gross stupidity in our leaders. Notwithstanding that ignominious combination in our leaders—

instead of calling Islam what it is, deal with it as such; instead, they praise and support it; do their level best to cover for it--renders these “leaders” impotent against this enemy.

As I stated earlier, using Allah’s words, which state the facts on Islam, I aim to shame every coward of truth every coward of Islam “serving” in the ranks of our leadership.

Why am I pressing this so hard?

Because added to the nameless list of “weak” “Muslims” whom were brutally slain by good pure Muslim, are the names of these men--I press this as I do because of how and why these all died:

**Mosul, November 2003:** Two US Soldiers, sitting in traffic, shot, then drug from their vehicle, their throats slit; local teens using bricks beat these two US Soldiers in the streets where they laid; the crowd severed one foot from one of the Soldiers.

**Fallujah, late March 2004:** four US Contractors—surely we recall the details.

**Ramadi, early April 2004,** Marines. The incident summed up in these words of Lt. Col. Paul Kennedy, Commander of the 2nd Battalion of the 4th Marine Regiment, who said, “fighting in Ramadi was the hardest ‘this battalion faced in over 30 years.

**Within the blink of an eye, the situation went from relatively calm to a raging storm.”**

Twelve Marines were “killed and 25 wounded.”

The troops of Allah attacked the Marines, “At four different locations on April 6, Marines were ambushed in coordinated guerrilla attacks.” ( [The most dangerous city in Iraq](#))

The statements of Capt. Kelly Royer, commander of Echo Company, who, “lost 10 men that day, including eight in one ambush”, underscore the point I am making here.

He said, "They hit us in the far west, in the north of our AO (area of operation) and in the far southeast.” Moreover, He asked, **“Where did this organization come from? Were they always here?”**

[http://www.usatoday.com/news/world/iraq/2004-07-12-ramadi\\_x.htm](http://www.usatoday.com/news/world/iraq/2004-07-12-ramadi_x.htm))

**Ramadi, June 2004**, a crack Marine sniper team, somehow, snuck up on, taken unawares, summarily, executed. One had his throat slashed.

[http://www.snipercountry.com/Articles/SIA\\_RooftopExecution.asp](http://www.snipercountry.com/Articles/SIA_RooftopExecution.asp))

**Balad, June 2004.** Two US soldiers shot dead at close range, either by an Iraq patrolling with them, who was serving in the US-created, Iraqi Civil Defense Corps (ICDC, a force, at that time, said to be the Iraqi's "main internal security force, meant to battle insurgents"), or by those working with that Iraq, who ambushed, shot, and killed our two Soldiers.

These excerpts from the Military's report on the incident tell enough:

*McCaffrey and Tyson were **slain by enemies posing as "friendly" Iraqi national guardsmen**, according to Army investigators. The **Iraqis patrolled alongside the Californians, then betrayed them when they turned their backs**, investigators say.*

[http://www.usatoday.com/news/world/2007-01-20-wartimedeadths\\_x.htm](http://www.usatoday.com/news/world/2007-01-20-wartimedeadths_x.htm))

**Samarra, July 2004**, Iraqis overrun a US Forward Operating Base. Five US troops killed in a masterfully planned and executed attack, by Iraqi Forces.

The hit began with a Vehicle Bourn Improvised Explosive Device (Suicide Bomber in an SUV), which was immediately followed up by an attack of no-less-than 38 well-place mortar rounds--from Iraqi forces, in Iraqi Uniforms, working under the leadership of an Iraqi General.

Security to the base, broken, secondary to our troops trusting Iraqis National Guardsmen to guard the entry to this base. Many, of these ING, while the attack was still on going, ran to the locker rooms, tossed their uniforms aside, and fled.

<http://insider.washingtontimes.com/articles/normal.php?StoryID=20040711-114243-2937r>

**Mosul, December 2004**, mess hall hit. A Suicide bomber wearing an Iraqi uniform. Twenty Two killed, 16 of the those killed, Americans.

[http://www.wzzm13.com/news/news\\_article.aspx?storyid=34696](http://www.wzzm13.com/news/news_article.aspx?storyid=34696)

Our leaders--though they knew what they were aiming to lead our nation to believe was a lie--did their damndest to mask the facts of this exposure of their stupidity in their wide acceptance of our Muslim "allies."

[http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE\\_ID=42147](http://www.worldnetdaily.com/news/article.asp?ARTICLE_ID=42147)

As such, three days after the attack, out of Dubai, Reuters reported, "Answar al-Sunnah," who almost immediately claimed responsibility for the hit, stating it was the work of a suicide bomber, "taunts U.S. over Mosul base bomb."

**They mocked our nation, asking, were our leaders "this stupid, that they still don't know how they've been hit?"**

The sad accurate answer to that was, "Yes," they were that stupid. Moreover, they seemingly remain so.

Early on in that attack, it was obvious—that is, for anyone familiar with the telltales of a suicide hit, anyone examining the facts with at least half a brain in tract--it was the work of one who sat and ate with ours. One who knew the mess hall; one who blended in there.

In other words, as has been in all the hits listed here, one who worked with the benefit of inside information, an enemy masked as an ally who we trusted.

Ansar al-Sunnah did their work that day just as the "Magnificent Nineteen" executed their operation on "Holy Tuesday" the morning of 9/11: they did it following the orders from the *ayah* of the *Kitab Allah*.

Then there was Haditha:

**Haditha**, 2005, another Sniper team is overtaken, executed. One, disemboweled, drug through the streets.

Why? Because Islam was the law. Thus, it was lived out.

Thus, our troops, whom our leaders sent into Haditha disregarding the Law that guided the citizens in that town, suffered at the hands of those citizens.

*“Residents of Haditha said several masked gunmen identifying themselves as members of the Ansar al-Sunnah Army, a major Sunni Arab insurgent group, appeared in the market carrying helmets, flak jackets and automatic rifles they said belonged to U.S. troops.*

*They distributed fliers claiming they had killed 10 American service members.*

***"They were on a mountain near the town so we went up, surrounded them and asked them to surrender," the statement said. "They did not surrender so we killed them."***

*A similar claim in the name of Ansar al-Sunnah was posted on an Islamic Web site.*

*<http://sfgate.com/cgi-bin/article.cgi?file=/n/a/2005/08/02/international/i072231D54.DTL>; [AOL News - Six Marines Killed in Western Iraq.](#))*

And another report adding a few more details on this incident:

***The Ansar al-Sunnah Army's Web site posted photographs Wednesday showing a bloody, badly wounded body wearing Marine camouflage trousers and two hooded gunmen standing in front of several rifles.***

*In a statement accompanying the photos, **Ansar al-Sunnah said the insurgents lured the Marines out of their base and ambushed them.***

*"The intention was to capture them alive, but they opened fire on the mujahedeen," the statement said, using the Arabic word for holy warrior. "The **heroes slaughtered those who were still alive ... except for one, who begged the mujahedeen for his life. They captured him and he is in our hands.**"*

The group also *said it had beheaded some of the Marines killed Monday.*

[USATODAY.com - Enemy in Iraq getting deadlier](http://www.usatoday.com/news/world/iraq/2005-08-03-cover-attacks_x.htm)  
[http://www.usatoday.com/news/world/iraq/2005-08-03-cover-attacks\\_x.htm](http://www.usatoday.com/news/world/iraq/2005-08-03-cover-attacks_x.htm)

Not surprising, the frequent "Washington has underestimated" stated repeatedly throughout reports.

I guess they underestimated. Not only did they underestimate, they flat out disregarded the details of the declared Law of the "new Iraq."

Just a few days before this Haditha incident, the new Iraq Government released a draft copy of the New Constitution. In that, they stated clearly, "**Islam is the main source of legislation . . . no law will be approved that contradicts ``the rules of Islam.'**"

(I wonder what could mean.)

Not surprising then, the Iraqis in Haditha, following the *Kitab Allah* and the *sunnah* of the Prophet perfectly, executed the enemy combatants who refused to surrender.

That was, after all, as *sunnah* indicates, the way of the Prophet. Hence, this *hadith*:

*This is a declaration from God and His Messenger. 'O you the believers, fulfill your contracts. [This is a] deed from Muhammad . . . Inform the people of their privileges and obligations and **be gentle to them when right is with them, but be severe with them when they are unjust. . . . He who does not appeal to God . . . should be smitten with the sword.***  
(Tabari, XI. Pp. 85-6.)

And another *hadith* dealing with the capture of an enemy of Islam:

*‘Umar went before the Messenger of God and said: “Messenger of God, here is Abu Sufyan, the enemy of God . . . . Let me cut off his head!” . . . “The Messenger of God said; “Go, for we have promised him safety, Bring him to me tomorrow morning.”*

*So’Umar took Abu Sufyan . . . . In the morning, he brought him to the Messenger of God, who, when he saw him, said, “Alas, Abu Sufyan, **hasn’t the time come for you to know that there is no god but God?**”*

.....  
*“Woe to you!” Recite the testimony of truth before, by God, your head is cut off!” And he recited the shahadah. (“the formula of testimony or profession of faith whereby one becomes a Muslim: “There is no god but God; Muhammad is the Messenger of God.” [Tabari, Vol. VIII. pp. 172, 3].)*

Small wonder, not long after these Marines refused to surrender, a certain DVD was a popular item in Iraq; it featured “a young, blond muscular man who had been disemboweled [sic]. He was said to have been a member of a six-strong US sniper team ambushed on Aug. 1.”

According to the locals in Haditha, “he had been paraded in town before being executed.” (<http://www.taipeitimes.com/News/world/archives/2005/08/23/2003268851>)

In all these acts, the Muslims simply obeyed Allah and his book.

Because, in his book, in speaking of those who reject Islam, Allah, via his Messenger Muhammad, said, “Accursed, wherever they are found, they shall be seized and killed with a (terrible) slaughter.” (*Qur’an, Surah Al-Ahazab* [33]: 61. Khans translation.)

In his book, via his Messenger, Allah said, “That was the way of Allah . . . and you will not find any change in the way of Allah.” (Ibid. 62.)

Yet, still, the sea of facts notwithstanding, we pretend Islam is noble and peaceful, the adherents of it, our trustworthy allies.

Back to a few more ugly details of the cost for such unpardonable, disregard for facts and realities on an enemy:

**Ramadi, 1 December 2005.** Marines, 10 killed, 11 wounded. First reports came one day after the incident.

With underscored irony, the first reports on this incident shared airspace with reports carrying charges of the Pentagon manipulating the media; reports charging, that the Pentagon, yearning for good news stories from Iraq, was reported to have been paying Iraqis to run “favorable stories” there.

While the Pentagon, in damage-control-defensive-mode, asserted that their efforts to manipulate the media were simply efforts needed to counter, with truthful and accurate information, the misinformation and propaganda commonly used by this enemy, the Marines delivered a report, which, four days later, they repudiated.

(<http://transcripts.cnn.com/TRANSCRIPTS/0512/02/sitroom.02.html>)

The first reports on this 1 December 2005 Ramadi hit stated what Fox News and others reported, “A roadside bomb killed 10 Marines and wounded 11 others on a foot patrol near Fallujah, the U.S. military announced Friday.”

(<http://www.foxnews.com/story/0,2933,177444,00.html>)

Fox referred to it as an “ambush,” but then also reported it as being a “roadside bomb.”

What’s more, as CNN’s senior international correspondent, Nic Robertson, said while he reported from Baghdad, military officials stated the blast was “described as being made by several large artillery shells.”

Nic, clearly going by what Marine officials stated to the media, added what many of us thought: “we know that Marines, when they are out on foot patrol -- and this was a foot patrol - that they tend to patrol fairly well spaced out -- each Marine covering the other Marine. So that gives an indication the size of the blast from these artillery shells.”

Indeed. Still, something failed to add up in these reports.

Adding to my dissatisfaction that day on the certainty that we were getting accuracy in this report were statements made to CNN’s Wolf Blitzer, by Scott Peterson, a Correspondent for the Christian Science Monitor. With the benefit of recently having been imbedded with Marines in that area, Peterson stated, “I was going on foot patrols with Marines. They were very, very much alert to the kind of threats that they were facing.”

A small statement made by Chairman of the Armed Services Committee, Sen. John Warner, that was included in the Fox News report also seemed to indicate there was possibly more to the story than the Military officials were stating.

Senator Warner, calling the ambush a "very serious attack," said, "it appears that this group of Marines had collected — which is always a dangerous thing — in sort of one location."

Once more, I found it troubling, that all signs pointed to one or to the other of these two possibilities: either Marine negligence, or somehow, with inside info, someone sucked them in. Knew where they would be, planted the bombs, and hit there.

I suspected the latter.

Such was sound reasoning. Thus the question stood--how did this happen? At best, if we were being told the truth, then a large area was "worked" on by those planting the "several large artillery shells". Clearly, the locals had to have known about it. Had to have witnessed it. Not to mention, the Marines had been turning security of the area back into the care of their Iraqi "allies."

The next day, in an AP report, via one who witnessed the attack, contradicting the Military's report—as has too often happened—came a little insight on just what Senator Warner was referring to when he spoke of the precariousness of so many Marines having collected "in sort of one location."

The witness stated the explosion has happened in a mill the Marines used, "in the village of Amiriyat al Fallujah." They had all gathered there, then, suddenly, boom!

That same day, this same AP report reported, Al-Jazeera was broadcasting another videotape, this one from the Islamic Army of Iraq, and "showing an explosion targeting a U.S. foot patrol near Fallujah."

[http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2005/12/03/insurgent-ambush-kills-19\\_n\\_11623.html](http://www.huffingtonpost.com/2005/12/03/insurgent-ambush-kills-19_n_11623.html)

Though it was inconclusive if this video was the product of the 1 December hit, nonetheless, it showed, "ground troops walking down a street on both sides of a Humvee when a huge fireball engulfed the area, sending terrified Iraqi bystanders scrambling for their lives."

That report, 3 December.

Three days later, the Marines (one can only conclude), having learned they had a walking talking witness to the incident, they stepped to the plate. Once more, AP report carried the news. It read this way:

*The Marines from Company F, 2nd Battalion, 7th Marine Regiment were not on a foot patrol, as previously reported, and were instead inside an abandoned flour mill. The troops used the mill as a temporary patrol base.*

*"The platoon swept the area for explosives and established security around the factory," the statement said. "On that day, the company commander traveled to the patrol base to promote three Marines. A promotion ceremony involving a group of Marines was conducted inside the patrol base."*

*After the ceremony, the men dispersed, it said.*

*"It is suspected that one of the Marines triggered a hidden pressure plate initiation device, causing the explosion," the statement explained. "Explosive experts believe four artillery shells were buried in two separate locations."*

In other reports, Marine officials called the "hidden pressure plate" a booby trap.

Tough to know just what transpired in Ramadi that day. Nonetheless, safe to state however, someone who the Marines trusted, someone in charge of keeping the mill secure after the Marines "swept the area for explosives" and ruled it secure, clearly allowed someone to set that deathly trap.

The story of the price for our foolish disregard of the role Allah's word plays in the hearts yielded to it is both written and stained with the blood of our own troops.

And lest we forget, these:

**Yossifiyah, 16 June 2006.** Troops from the 101<sup>st</sup>, hit, our undermanned outpost is overrun. One of the three soldiers there, executed on the spot; the two others kidnapped, and later beheaded and dismembered.

Karbala, January 2007. Surely, we recall.

Add to the above, the constant danger posed by the steady stream of imposters, whom are either foreigners or Iraqi locals willing to be suicide bombers.

They come clad in whatever garb needed to facilitate their blending in into the crowd they intend to target. Often that means they come hidden in Iraqi Police or ISF uniforms.

Still, knowing that, at the request of the Iraqi government, we foolishly issued our tough to duplicate, tough to acquire uniforms to our Iraqi “Allies.”

Again, in either gross stupidity of gross negligence (or some other unstated unsightly reason) we helped the Iraqis to make their uniforms similar to ours.

We did so, fully aware of the prevalence of militia members and “insurgents” who are “serving” throughout the ISF. Knowing, many in those ranks had used the mask of the uniform to fool our troops, suck them in, then, slay them, as all Muslims are commanded to do to enemy combatants; still we made it easy for the Iraqis to own one of the previous “hard to duplicate” uniforms (does not get any easier than “Army Issued,” does it?) .

Yet, sound reasoning cast aside, we helped the Iraqis to duplicate our uniforms (with the small difference of theirs having an Iraqi flag embedded in the fabric instead of an American flag there). Did so, knowing, lawless men, hungry to shed the blood of, you, our troops, served in the ISF, thus, upon being issued this new” uniform, they would then own one.

Thus, all too often, and not a tad bit surprising, we add to these accumulating pages, more of these same stories.

Narrations that tell of the actions of a true, a pure, and thus a good Muslim and their obedience to Allah. Actions and obedience that led the Muslim to first gain and then to betray the trust of our troops. Accounts that thus ended in the death of one or several more American soldiers. That has been our sick inane self-defeating policy.

Once again, the morning I wrote these lines, news of an “[Attack on U.S. base kills 2 GIs - Conflict in Iraq - MSNBC.com](http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/17224668/), (<http://www.msnbc.msn.com/id/17224668/>).

Once again, it is one more damned day we can add to these stories one more damned line of more of the same.

Because once again today, on the front lines, where you are following war plans that disregard the realities of Islam and the people loyal to it, you, our Soldiers mingle in amongst millions of enemy forces, whom, our cowards in high-places claims as “friendlyes.”

Therefore, once more, ROE disregard what a Muslim is and what he is Allah instructed to do to hypocrites, apostates to Islam, unbelievers and enemy combatants.

Thus good men die. While cowards of truth, cowards of Islam, masquerade as tough war fighters.

When all they are is, in truth, red carpet laid out, that "Muhammad" and his walk on as they advance on our nation. The Muslims make that advance, with our treasures in sight, and many, all ready in hand as their claimed war booty.

For our nation to allow this is criminal. It is also suicidal. What's more, unless this friendship with "Muhammad" ceases, our blindness in the "friendship" will also be our "Here lies."

Hence this clarion alarm. The stories on those pages compel me.

The men in those stories all died, secondary to our unpardonable disregard for the basics that form Muslims and Islamic communities. They died, because our leaders hoped Muslims would somehow be something other than those who live by Allah's book.

Some may state, but many I know out here are not good Muslims, yet they side either with the Sunni "insurgents" or with the Shiite militias.

That too, part of Islam--Islam is unlike any other religion. Islam is a war plan--A conclusion you will undoubtedly arrive at as you work your way through the Islamic readings in this manual.

Though I dive deeper into that topic in another chapter of "down the river," the short take on those facts come down this way:

Muhammad's first invitation to his listeners included promises to them, should they embrace him and his religion, they would then rule and subjugate all nations; they would also seize as their own the treasures of those nations.

It's accurate to say, the first bait on his hook to lure others into Islam was, he claimed, that "the treasures of Chusroes and Ceasar [sic] will be given to him by conquest."

He promised, those who uttered the *shahadah*, to them "The Arabs will submit . . . and they will rule over the non-Arabs." (*Tabari, Vol. VI, Muhammad at Mecca*. Pp.82, 95.)

Time after time through out the pages of the Sira, we read narratives wherein non-Muslims, surrounded and outnumbered by the devotees to the way of Muhammad; noting their grim options; admitting, they lacked "the strength to make war against" the Muslim; thus, considering their slim to none chance of defeating the forces of Muhammad, they simply embraced Islam.

Joined forces with the Muslims. That was standard. MO. Hence, common to this day in Islamic ranks everywhere. And for their compliance, they were amply rewarded. (*Tabari, Last Days of*. p. 43 and elsewhere. Vol. XI.)

Thus, it takes but a few "good" Muslims a nation to rule.

*What in this world is a "good" Muslim?*

Let me ask you question. Recalling and then considering the description in the previous chapter detailing just what a Muslim is; **would you recognize a good Muslim if you saw one** (a good Muslim being, what “Allah” would call and qualify as a good Muslim)?

**Do you believe Prime Minister of Iraq, Maliki is a good Muslim** (good Muslim meaning one who is a pure Muslim)?

As you respond to that question, please do so bearing all the following facts in mind.

This, from one in Maliki’s “neighborhood,” one from those who know Maliki well:

[Dar Al Hayat](#), *Ayoon Wa Azan (The Ignorance of the American Partner)*

*Jihad el-Khazen Al-Hayat - 31/07/06//*

..... *(Jihad is commenting on Maliki's visit to D.C last summer[dl])*

*The most obvious 'negative' point was al-Maliki's attitude toward Hezbollah and Israel. I fully support and congratulate the Prime Minister for this attitude. In Baghdad, al-Maliki called for an end to the Israeli aggression against Lebanon. He refused to condemn Hezbollah in Washington, although many members tried to embarrass him. At a breakfast meeting with congressional leaders, the Senate's No. 2 Democrat, Richard Durbin, asked al-Maliki "Do you believe that Hezbollah is a terrorist organization?" Durbin complained later that the Prime Minister refused to reply.*

*I will reply instead. Hezbollah is a national liberation movement, while Israel is a terrorist State. I object to suicide operations and I have always demanded an end to them. I find Israel ten times more terrorist than all the Resistance factions. I have the figures of civilian casualties on both sides.*

However, **the insistence on asking the Iraqi Prime Minister about Hezbollah shows great ignorance of Iraq and its people. This ignorance explains why the US administration keeps committing one error after another, starting from the invasion to managing the occupation until today.**

Two days ago, I said that **Nouri al-Maliki belongs to the Daawa Party. He lived in exile in Iran. He has the support of the ruling Shiite coalition. He is all Hezbollah, except for the membership card.** The US Senates[sic] and Representatives want him to renege on his identity.

Being brief here, I will only state, with respect to PM Maliki, there is no shortage of info on him to let us know what his goals are. So too, his guide for life, his mission, as well as, the place and the people to which he yields loyalty.

It is open knowledge that Maliki is a member of the *Dawa* Party.

According to the Islamic Glossary, *Dawa* means, “Inviting others to Islam. Missionary work.” I think we all understand what missionary work is. Thus, it is open knowledge that the declared mission of the *Dawa* Party is spreading the message of Islam. It is likewise open knowledge--for anyone, that is, with the will to read and level with reality--that fighting in the way of Allah to make Islam the supreme religion is the central message of Islam.

It is open knowledge that Maliki is the deputy leader of the [Islamic Dawa Party](#). It is open knowledge that, while he lived in Damascus, Maliki was the leader of Dawa’s *Jihad* branch, which is the military wing of the Dawa party, a branch skilled in clandestine techniques and in evading “censure and surveillance.”

([http://www.meib.org/articles/0306\\_iraqd.htm](http://www.meib.org/articles/0306_iraqd.htm); *Dossier: Hezb al-Daawa al-Islamiyya Islamic Call Party*, by Mahan Abedin)

According to the consensus of the learned Scholars of Islam, agreeing in all stated in *The Reliance of the Traveler* (Manual of Sacred Islamic Law), on page 599, *jihad* breaks down this way: “*Jihad* means to war against non-Muslims, and is etymologically derived from the word *mujahada*, signifying **warfare to establish the religion.**”

Additionally, the first ayat listed therein as “the scriptural basis for jihad” is this *ayat* from the Qur’an: “Slay them wherever you find them.” (Koran 2: 126)

Surely, that is not what Maliki supported and supports now, could it be?

Surely, Maliki could not have known the meaning of that word--could he have? Surely, he is above devoting his efforts and spending himself in the cause of Allah, warring against non-Muslims to establish the religion of Islam, and especially under the “slay-them-whenever-you-find-them” terms mentioned above—surely he is above that, right?

The *Jihad* branch of Dawa is the wing in charge of guerrilla warfare.

I just can't help but wonder, if just maybe, maybe, Maliki, all those years he was the head of this guerrilla warfare party, just maybe he actually knew the mission of the party. Just maybe he actually knew then, and still knows now, the meaning and the goal of  *Jihad*.

Perhaps, he even took part in some of those guerrilla warfare attacks on non-Muslims, maybe even in attacks against Americans. Perhaps he still plays a role in those attacks today. Perhaps the reason he is still a member of Dawa today is that he is still loyal to all he has been loyal to and participated in, all his years he has served in Dawa.

One thing is certain; Maliki is a loyal, bona fide, pure Muslim.

I just can't help but wonder if, just maybe, all that added together ought to mean something to us--surely, somewhere we can still derive a sensible conclusion from those addends, can we not?

(If you lack the above info, at your request, I will supply that to you.)

We move on.

Back to my last question posed: **do you believe Maliki is a good Muslim?**

I'm assuming most of you are stating, "of course he is. " You're right—Of course Maliki is a good Muslim.

The "Sacred" texts of Islam also show OBL, al-Sadr, AMZ, just like Maliki, each qualify as examples of what a good pure Muslims is.

That being so, recall what all Muslims must do or they are no Muslim at all.

Now, I've a quick question I will tuck into the minds of our Generals, Colonels, LTC, and such. As we continue on digging deeper into Allah's word, please bear this question in mind:

Knowing, Maliki and all Muslims take Allah's word seriously, as Allah commands them to do; knowing, Allah's word orders his followers to do as stated in his word; as you read the follow orders from that word, ask yourself, **what plans do we have to address these orders, which are scripted on the hearts and minds of millions of Iraqis?**

As I stated, before, Gentlemen, because, as we work with the adherents of the Islamic tenets, and yet, do so, pretending Islam is not what Islam is--as it is written; as it is shown

here to be--Islam clearly foils and annuls many of our efforts. Makes all of our precious cost for no lasting gain.

Knowing, that you, our leaders, are responsible for the lives of hundreds or thousands under your command, it seems elementary you would regard the fact, as long as Islam is the foundation we build on, irrespective of where we build or how much we spend building, if our goals are incongruent with Allah's goals, all of our costs are waste.

*(The tacit there of course is, if our goals are congruent with Allah's goals, why are they?)*

*Moreover, if the above is so, are you comfortable spending, lives and limbs of the men you command, to help the Muslim attain the goal of Allah and of Islam?)*

One does not need to study Islam long to see just why that last statement is so.

## *The Best Muslim Ever*

The study of Islam starts with the study of the "Prophet" Muhammad. He is the example all Muslims are to follow. He was what Allah called the "exemplary ensample" of one submissive to Allah's command, which is what a Muslim is.

As we see in this *ayat*:

033.021 لَقَدْ كَانَ لَكُمْ فِي رَسُولِ اللَّهِ أُسْوَةٌ حَسَنَةٌ لِمَنْ كَانَ يَرْجُو اللَّهَ وَالْيَوْمَ الْآخِرَ وَذَكَرَ اللَّهَ  
كَثِيرًا

*033.021 Ye have indeed in the Messenger of Allah a beautiful pattern (of conduct) for any one whose hope is in Allah and the Final Day, and who engages much in the Praise of Allah.*

The Khan translation renders it this way:

**“. . . in the Messenger of Allâh (Muhammad *saw*) you have a good example to follow.”**

*Al-Qur'an, 033.021 (Al-Ahzab [The Clans, the Coalition, the Combined Forces])*

*Text Copied from DivineIslam's Qur'an Viewer software v2.910*

Thus, in every situation, the good pure true Muslim looks to what Mohammad did, ordered, and established.

One of the many tenets he established is the Islamic tenet that every Muslim is to do their part to weaken and subjugate the non-Muslims.

In other words, every Muslim is to do their part in fighting in the way of Allah to make Islam the supreme religion across the globe. If one neglects their duty to *jihad*, according to the “Sacred text,” they die a hypocrite, severed from the fold of Islam, and destined to Allah’s hellfire.

Some argue and state that the *jihad* Allah spoke of was the higher *jihad*, that war inside the soul. Indeed, he spoke briefly on that higher *jihad*, but the lower *jihad* is the topic that Allah, via his messenger, not occasionally mentions but speaks of almost throughout the “Sacred” text—it ties the gamut of Islam together.

*Jihad*, with weapons and armament.

*Jihad*, with killing and being killed. *Jihad*, with Allah’s penal code enforced. *Jihad*, with the actions of the *Ummah* confirming, as we have seen and absorbed in Iraq (and elsewhere) what we see here with ibn Kathir’s *tafsir* on this *ayat* from the “Sacred Text,” as he expounds on the *jihad* Allah referred to:

066.009 يَا أَيُّهَا النَّبِيُّ جَاهِدِ الْكُفَّارَ وَالْمُنَافِقِينَ وَاغْلُظْ عَلَيْهِمْ وَمَأْوَاهُمْ جَهَنَّمُ وَيَسَّرَ الْمَصِيرُ  
066.009 O Prophet! **Strive hard against the Unbelievers and the Hypocrites, and be firm against them.**

*Al-Qur'an, 066.009 (At-Tahrim [Banning, Prohibition])*

*Text Copied from DivineIslam's Qur'an Viewer software v2.910*

**Command for *Jihad* against the Disbelievers and the Hypocrites**

Allah the Exalted orders **His Messenger to perform Jihad against the disbelievers and hypocrites, the former with weapons and armaments and the later by establishing Allah's legislated penal code,**

(وَاغْلُظْ عَلَيْهِمْ)

**(and be severe against them)** meaning, **in this life,**

(وَمَاوَاهُمْ جَهَنَّمُ وَيَسَّرَ الْمَصِيرُ)

Once more, Soldier, stay with me-- you have to get this down.

Unlike what your Commander in Chief has told you with respect to a few radicals having twisted the meaning of *jihad*, the *jihad* spelled out above is *jihad* just as Allah ordered it. It is central to Islam.

*Jihad* is war. And the Prophet of Islam both said and showed, “War is deceit.”

### ***Part III***

#### ***Deceit in Islam***

Muhammad was a master of deceit. Muhammad is the example all Muslims are command to follow. In all things. Obviously, included in that “in all things” is the skill and practiced art of deceit. Deceit includes lying.

To grasp how highly Muhammad valued lying, all one need do is read his admonitions against tale bearing and lying; though I won’t do so now, those orders are abundant.

Yet for *jihad*, for protecting a brother, for fooling an enemy, or for any other reason that helps to advance the goal of Islam, in all those cases, for the Prophet of Islam, deceit was *sunnah*—modus operandi, modus vivendi.

Throughout the *Sira*, which are, as mentioned earlier, the books on the biography of Muhammad, Islamic historians and Scholars continuously mention, spotlight, and laud the cunningness of the Prophet of Islam. Islam advanced as Muhammad practiced and thus taught the arts of “Hiding ones true intent,” having his followers conceal their “Islamic proclivities” making them imperceptible if possible, so that he could do to others as they did to Khaybar: reduce them to submission, then disarm them, taking them unawares.

All those acts are basic arts in Islam--*sunnah* of the Prophet. Hence, praised throughout Islamic history. Practiced in the same to the same degree.

“The Prophet did not disclose his intentions to anybody . . . secrecy was so great . . . . The information work so efficient . . . diversion was necessary . . . when he wanted to attack Mecca” he sent a detachment traveling away from Mecca, as decoys.

He not only misled, hiding his intended goals, but he likewise concealed “the real strength of his forces.”

*(The Battlefields of the Prophet Muhammad, Peace be upon Him, Dr. Muhammad Hamidullah, Published by Nusrat Ali Nasri for Kitab Bhavan, p. 82, 3)*

How'd he manage that? Deceit. Deception used as a steed of war.

Hence, the pages of Islamic History are rife with the irreplaceable benefits of that cunningness.

Thus, as the Muslim yields his malleable mind and character to the sculptural hands of those "Sacred" texts, repeatedly he reads of the irreplaceable benefits reaped from deceit.

As far back as Mecca, before it capitulated to Islam, Muhammad worked with plants who served as "secret agent [agents] of Islam, [who] . . . constantly kept the Prophet informed." (*Hamidullah, Battlefields. p. 40.*)

The secret agent that the Prophet had in Mecca was his uncle, Abbas, who, even when he was imprisoned, he "did not fail to inform the Prophet." Part of what Abbas informed Muhammad on was of the plans of the Meccans to attack Medina, where Muhammad and his caravan raiders, after attacking and raiding the Meccan caravans, enjoyed safe haven.

*(The History of Tabari, Vol. VII, The Foundation of the Community. Translated by M.V. McDonand, Annotated by W. Montgomery Watt, Translator's forward. Pp. xix, xxii.)*

Therefore--as has happened continuously to us in Iraq and elsewhere in this war--when the Meccans advanced on Medina, prior to their arrival, the "secret agent" made certain the Prophet and his were fully informed, and thus, ready to repel the Meccan army. (*Hamidullah, Battlefields. pp. 43, 4; Ibn Sa'd, III/I p. 25; ash-Sham'miy, in loco.*)

On another day, while the Muslims readied to meet this Quraishite Meccan Army, once the Quraishites were close, "Muslim spies [with their identities and intentions masked, of course] mingled with the marching hordes, and returned [when opportunity allowed] to report to the Prophet Muhammad." (*Ibid. p.50.*)

*(Some might state, that is just good intelligence work, for any nation--Point made.*

*It is good top-notch intelligence work, and Intelligence to benefit the goals of the Nation of Islam.*

*That is why, it behooves us to ask ourselves--given we are yoked with those that follow the Mohammedan Traditions--while in harness with Muslims, are we in truth working towards the goals of freedom or are we working towards the goal of Islam?*

*It behooves us to ask, while we claim as allies, citizens of Allah's ummah, the nation of Islam, thus, those--unless they clearly declare and prove otherwise-- sworn to pursue the goals of Islam; are we then sharing info with allies or with those who are masked enemies of our nation?*

*Because if we are sharing information and intelligence with those that pursue the goal of Islam, we are indeed sharing info and intelligence with the masked enemies of our nation, the enemies of freedom.)*

Recall, what the Prophet and the early “pious” in Islam did the good Muslims do. Hence, the above practice of deceit is basic Islam.

Basic.

Mecca was a prime example of the immense benefits yielded to the Ummah via deceit. Deceit practiced, bear in mind, by those in **a religion that is a war plan for world dominance**; a war plan that moves into century after century wearing the garment of feigned piousness--as the facts on Islam, sufficiently included in this manual, bear out.

That yield was, in short, in the words of one Islamic historian, “the gradual collapse of Meccan resistance to Islam.” (*The History of Tabari, Vol. VIII, The Victory of Islam*, Translated by Michael Fishbein, Cover, Editor, Said Amir Arjomand’s comment.)

If anyone is foolish enough to believe, those same tactics are not at work in and on our nation today you are just that--unwise. You are viewing Islam and the Muslims disregarding all facts prove both to be.

Because Mecca failed to detect the work of the true Muslims in their ranks, this is the Meccan’s story: “They had no idea that the Messenger of God had encamped at Marr until they suddenly came upon him.” (*Ibid.* p. 175)

The Meccan’s next move?

Surrender to Muhammad. Swear allegiance to him. Embrace Islam.

*(Once more, I remind you, this Soldier’s Manual is a comprehensive yet abridged work-- Much more of the same can be added to this and is in the “down the river.”)*

Unless we wake up, irrespective of how many thousands of troops we have in Iraq or any other Islamic nation, unless we deal with the realities of Islam, Islam will doubtlessly reap the harvest from our nation that they reaped from the preparatory “work” on Mecca: the Meccans capitulating to Islam.

They did so with out a fight.

The following statements made by one of the Muslims, as they inched their way towards the day they seized Mecca, testifies of how that city, and countless others, was conquered: “we kept watching for some heedlessness on their part or some way to strike them until . . . .” (*Ibid.* p. 150.)

Then, the Muslims, having surrounded the Meccans; many, having hidden their intentions and their Islamic loyalties; having moved in as mere tent dwellers, thus having gained inside information and then having sent that info back to Muhammad; when they scented victory was possible, when they knew, Mecca was ripe for the taking, Muhammad and his raiders marched on Mecca, “unopposed.”

“Muhammad entered the city on his own terms.”

(*Ibid.* Cover, Editor, Said Amir Arjomand’s comment.)

### “*Taken Unawares*”

Using deceit to lure all those who resist Islam into a place of impotency to counter it is an Islamic honed art. As a commander of US forces, either you are awake to this fact and countering it, or you are asleep at the wheel.

If you are the latter, your inexcusable slumber is only serving to benefit the daily advancing of the will of the squadrons of Muhammad--squadrons that include not only “*al-Khadra*, the squadron of the Messenger of God, composed of Emigrants and Ansar in iron [armor], with only their eyes visible,” but also the masked-in-suits *mujahid* who are Allah-obeying Muhammad-mimicking Muslims. (*Tabari*, Vol. VIII. P. 174)

Once upon a time, we called such a slumber--such negligence--dereliction of duty. We called it that for the same reason we call a bulldog a bulldog: because that is what it is.

If you are one of the above sleepers, you can take ill-fitting comfort in knowing countless before you have slept through the advancements of the advancers of the Mohammedan traditions.

By no means will you be the first to waken, only to find, you slept much too heavy and far too long. Only to find, on your doorstep, telling you and your children what you will do, what you will not do, what you will eat, what you will not eat, what you will drink, and what will not eat or drink, will be the Army of Muhammad.

Gentlemen, conquering, subjugating, and deceiving to get those jobs done is what Islam is. You can ignore these facts all you want to; the facts remain. The practices are employed.

Those who follow Islam follow the pattern of those who advanced on and then took Mecca--Stealthily they advance, masking their true intents; then, when the moment is right with the stage set to their perfection, tasting the flavor of victory, noting the moment of the heightened vulnerability of the enemy; with overtness now, unveiled they make their intentions known.

Just as Muhammad and his did when they marched on Mecca.

Just as they did on countless other cities that fell to Islam--masking their advances, "to take the enemy by surprise, he pretended," until the moment was ripe for harvest, then, with their soon-to-be war-booty insight, Muhammad and his horsemen marched openly and "unopposed," and took cities at will. (*Tabari*, Vol. VIII, p.42)

Unless we wake up, deal with these realities, the same forces that took Mecca will doubtlessly enter the ruling chambers of our nation and overtake those seats.

Moreover, they will do so, taking us unawares, just as the man they mimic entered Mecca.

"Suddenly," they will be the ones dictating the terms--the Muslim, in charge, will state who lives, who dies, and just how each one will live or die. They will do it--Unless we wake up.

They will do to our nation as their predecessors did to Khaibar, as these ahadith limn:

[USC-MSA Compendium of Muslim Texts](http://www.usc.edu/dept/MSA/fundamentals/hadithsunnah/bukhari/008.sb) [Translation of Sahih Bukhari,](#)  
[Book 8: Prayers \(Salat\)](#)

(<http://www.usc.edu/dept/MSA/fundamentals/hadithsunnah/bukhari/008.sbt.html#001.008.367>)

*Volume 1, Book 8, Number 367:*

*Narrated 'Abdul 'Aziz:*

*Anas said, '**When Allah's Apostle invaded Khaibar**, we offered the Fajr prayer there yearly in the morning) ***when it was still dark***. The Prophet rode and Abu Talha rode too and I was riding behind Abu Talha. ***The Prophet passed through the lane of Khaibar quickly . . . . When he entered the town, he said, 'Allahu Akbar! Khaibar is ruined. Whenever we approach near a (hostile) nation (to fight) then evil will be the morning of those who have been warned.'*** He repeated this thrice. ***The people came out for their jobs and some of them said, 'Muhammad (has come).'***' (Some of our companions added, "With his army.") ***We conquered Khaibar, took the captives, and the booty was collected.****

....

---

Volume 4, Book 52, Number 234:

Narrated Anas:

*The Prophet reached Khaibar in the morning, while the people were coming out carrying their spades over their shoulders. When they saw him they said, "This is Muhammad and his army! Muhammad and his army!" So, they took refuge in the fort. The Prophet raised both his hands and said, "Allahu Akbar, Khaibar is ruined, for when we approach a nation (i.e. enemy to fight) then miserable is the morning of the warned ones." Then we found some donkeys which we (killed and) cooked: The announcer of the Prophet announced: "Allah and His Apostle forbid you to eat donkey's meat." So, all the pots including their contents were turned upside down*

---

Volume 4, Book 52, Number 253:

Narrated Sahl:

*On the day (of the battle) of Khaibar the Prophet said, "Tomorrow I will give the flag to somebody who will be given victory (by Allah) and who loves Allah and His Apostle and is loved by Allah and His Apostle." . . . The Prophet gave [Ali] him the flag. 'Ali said, "Should I fight them till they become like us (i.e. Muslim)?" The Prophet said, "Go to them patiently and calmly till you enter the land. Then, invite them to Islam, and inform them what is enjoined upon them, for, by Allah.*

What was “enjoined upon them”?

The fact that they had two choices: accept Islam, cooperate with Muhammad, render your allegiance to him and his ways and be safe; reject those, fail to cooperate with the wishes of Muhammad, fail to tell him all he wishes to know of “Khaibar” and her treasure and, well--take a wild guess at choice two.

The treasurer, if he could testify, if the dead could speak, he would tell all about what happened to those who failed to cooperate with Muhammad.

Since his voice is absent, we'll let the *hadith* from the *Sira* tell us all about what was common to those who resisted complying with the wishes of the, Muhammad, King of Hijaz,. (*Hamidullah, Battlefields.* p. 129; *Tabari, Vol. VIII.* p. 122.)

After the custodian of the treasury of Khaybar was tortured, al-Zubayr, doing the torturing, as he carried out the orders of the “Messenger of God,” to “torture him [the said custodian] until you root out what he has.’ Al-Zubayr kept twirling his firestick [sic] in his breast until Kinanah [the custodian of treasury] almost expired.”

“Then the Messenger of God gave him to Muhammad b. Maslamah, who beheaded him.” (Tabari, Vol. VIII. p. 122, 23.)

How did Khaybar come to her moment of ignominy and defeat?

Same story--deception used as a steed of war: Masking his intentions, encroaching on the city, “the Messenger of God began taking herds and property bit by bit and conquered Khaybar fortress by fortress.” (Tabari, Vol. VIII, p. 117)

You say, but that is why we are fighting in Iraq. Yeah? Whom are we fighting there? Whom are we funding, informing, and training? Are we sure, the two are not on the same team?

Recall, deception is a central tenet of Islam.

Deception is a steed of war. The Muslim is to use the weapon of deception to aid them in achieving the goal of Islam. The goal of Islam is overthrowing and subjugating the nations of the world--seizing as the war booty for the followers of Muhammad, the treasures of those nations.

One thing is certain; our treasures are as open to the bidding of the Islamic Government of Iraq as were “the greatest of the fortresses of Khaybar” to Muhammad and his companions: there for the taking, opened for conquest. (Tabari, Vol. VIII, p. 117.)

Thus, “Khaybar became the booty [*fay*] of the Muslims.” (Ibid. p. 123) Thus, the Jews of Khaybar were conquered. Hence, my warning here: it is dangerous to expect Muslims not to be Muslims. It is foolhardy of us to believe they have abandoned this central tenet of Islam.

Islamic history has no shortage of the examples of the unequivocal benefits for the ummah from the Muslims fooling those they had yet to overthrow and subjugate.

If deceit benefits Islam, deceit is the standard practice of the good true pure Muslim.

That is basic--the only verifiable--Islam.

*Where are America's protectors?*

In face of these facts, in face of this sly foe, where are America's protectors?

General, Col, LTC, Sir, you can play dress up with Islam all day long, do so, for as long as you wish to, nevertheless, every moment you do, truth stands and testifies against you, and our "America" slips away.

With due respect to all of our leaders, Sir, if you believe Islam to be anything beyond what it is shown here to be, the facts on Islam prove you delusional.

Yet for all the irrefutable facts on Islam that are available, our leaders still pretend that Islam guides the enemy we are fighting against, yes; and the Qur'an, and the Sunnah, indeed; but not the only ones found, when searched for.

Our leaders seem void of understanding, though one searches high and low, the only Qur'an and Sunnah found are those that the over one billion Muslims live by and follow world wide. Hence, it is those canonized works of Islam, and those alone, I use here.

With all these facts—easily found, easily proven--on those aiming to change our way of life forever, it is nothing more than cowardice and negligence of the highest degree for any General or Commander of non-Islamic forces to fail to inform their troops on the tenets of faith held by this enemy.

When it is elementary, every American Service member, from Private to General, swears to protect and to defend our nation from every enemy both foreign and domestic.

Where, in the face of the true threat from Islam and in the face of the running advance of the same are America's protectors?

I'll tell you where--and to the shame of every US commander who has formed or followed any war plan "against" this enemy yet disregarded these easy-to-find, easy-to-prove facts. All too often, the commanders of our should-be-protectors are found none other place than at the feet of one of our Islamic Lords. There, they present to those who are devoted only to pursuing the Muhammadan goal, the treasures of our nation, which includes, the priceless service of our troops.

That is largely all we are doing in Iraq. We are today, in the eyes of the followers of Muhammad, as was the fortress of al-Sa'b b. Muahdh in Khaybar in Muhammad's day: "There was no fortress . . . more abounding in food and fat meat than it." (*Tabari, Vol. VIII Victory of Islam*,. p. 117.)

Thus, Muhammad and his set sights on that. It was that they got.

Wake up, Sir. If you possess courage, not only men under your command are dying due to this egregious casual disregard for realities and facts, but your nation is also dying.

Thus, she calls your name. She waits for you to stir then waken—in silence, she weeps, as she prays for you to stand and unleash the contents of your courageous soul, should you possess such a soul.

Shame on any “leader” fool and cowardly enough to kowtow to orders that mask and distort the facts on our true enemy, which is Islam and all who follow its tenets.

Without question, such a choice, unless corrected, is your signature to the orders that will doubtlessly bring about not only the death of many troops in your charge but it will also bring to fruition the death of our nation, which will be no less than an ignominious suicidal death.

Had the Meccans known the tricks of the trade of Islam, had they known they had devotees to Muhammad serving in high places, had they know what they whispered in confidence was carried posthaste to Muhammad; they would not have fallen to Islamic rule.

Muslims practiced then what the same practice now: They followed the sunnah of the Prophet, they did what he did, practiced what he practiced, and honed the arts he honed, chief amongst those, the art of hiding ones true intent--deception.

As I mentioned earlier, Islamic history shows, it was Sunnah, standard practice, for Muhammad to have plants, inside ears, in high places of those he warred against; those plants heard the plans made in secret chambers of those opposing the advancing rule of Islam, then they sent that info back to the Prophet of Islam or wrote him secretly.

Hence, to every soldier from Private to General, it is paramount, you and your comrades realize Muslims still do follow Muhammad’s example--They live by it. Following that tradition is what Islam is, nothing more. Following that Muhammadan way is what qualifies them as Muslims. These are simply facts.

Thus, we must regard the fact that throughout their pages of history, for the Prophet and his, deceit used against those who opposed them was MO.

Hence, these few lines taken from the chapter on “Military Intelligence in the Time of the Prophet Muhammad,” the author, Dr. Muhammad Hamidullah, quotes from the Sira (Ibn Hasham, p. 842): **"Special intelligence officer . . . spent several days among the enemy and brought necessary information."** (*Hamidullah, Battlefields*. p. 130.)

He had “agents . . . who used to write to him secretly . . . ‘Fifth columns’ . . . an agent from Medina . . . who [was] . . . a sincere Muslim, converted in the secret”--nothing but common in Islamic history. (*Ibid.*)

So too, as mentioned earlier, "misleading movements," which included, marching several days in one direction, then, suddenly, "converging towards the object." (*Ibid.* p.131)

On and on, the list goes.

### *Deception as a steed of War*

*From the History of Tabari, Vol. IX, The Last Years of the Prophet, Translated and Annotated by Ismail L Poonawala. p. 47:*

*The Messenger of God would seldom go out on a military expedition without alluding to a destination and announcing [publicly] that he meant [a place] other than that intended. The Tabuk expedition was the exception, in that he explained [the particulars of the expedition openly] to the people. This was because . . . He wanted the people to be fully prepared, so he ordered them to make ready **and informed them that his objective was the Byzantines.***

The Tabuk expedition was, just as stated, one of the few times Muhammad broke the pattern of deceit and openly made his true intentions known.

As a rule, however, to the Prophet of Islam and thus to his followers, knowing deception was a weapon of war, to them, it was a weapon of choice. (Once more, as we work through this, bear in mind, this is only a condensed comprehensive crash course on true Islam. Thus, for the sake of brevity, I list here only a few of the endless examples available that convincingly validate my statements.)

That is as much of a pillar in Islam as are the five prayers per day.

Commenting on Surah 33 and the message of the compulsoriness and the prestige of jihad, making clear to the “believers” just how high Allah rates jihad in Islam, the revered Imam ibn Kathir said, “He [Muhammad] said: ‘The greatest of all things is Islam, its pillars are the prayers and its pinnacle is Jihad for the sake of Allah.’”

Jihad for the sake of Allah is war. Moreover, the Prophet of Islam said, "War is Deceit."

Thus, deception is an Islamic approved, encouraged, and ordered trait and steed of war-- those are simple facts.

Facts--I cannot stress this enough—which, they bank on us simply refusing to believe anyone would employ such tactics against us.

Yet the Muslims use cunningness, under our noses-- again, because that is just the way Muhammad used it to those he fooled, and then subdued. (*Tabari, Vol. VII, Translator's Forward, p. xxii.*)

Again—we must get this--the true pure Muslims live by Muhammad's pattern and it alone.

Being foolish on this enemy, Men, we helped many of Muhammad's followers to duplicate our uniforms, shared with them our intelligence, as well as many of our secrets.

Who has paid the stiffest cost for such inexcusable foolishness? Our troops doubtlessly have; so too, our nation.

Yet for all that, I have yet to hear anyone address the central role Islam plays in this war, and thus in the hearts and minds of the lions share of the Iraqis. Instead, we just claim countless Muslims as our allies. Instead, we ask, you, our deployed troops, to disregard facts and reality of what makes one a Muslim. Walk over your better sense and just trust the followers of Allah as our trustworthy allies.

Kaled commented on this idiocy. Read what he stated; I added only punctuation to his statements to aid it their readability:

*Your president and his assistants are cheating your troops by telling them Islam is noble, in the time that Islam is not, Islam ask it's followers to not to trust in infidels.*

*You ask your troops to trust in Islam and Muslims that make them [sic]very easy prey to Muslims.*

*It looks that Bush work with Muslims for Islam not for USA.*

That came from a champion of courage, a crusader in exposing the truth on Islam.

One born, raised, and to this day immersed in a strictly Islamic society. In other words, one who knows, far better than anyone of our leaders does, how Muslims are.

I find it insulting to the core--if not criminal--our expectance, that you, the troops, from Private to General, will happily play the role of such willing fools.

Islam allows permissible Lying; it is simply part of the art of deception; deception is a Stratagem of War.

Use it, Allah orders his own, to set up, weaken, then kill or subjugate those who deny the authenticity of the “Messenger of God.” Use deception to take captive the enemy combatants, as well as all who oppose the troops of Allah.

These are all simple war tactics of our present enemy. Still, with these war tactics known, with them laid on the table and in view, we ask you, and our nation, to simply disregard the facts on Islam and the adherents to it.

Bear in mind, taking the enemies of Allah captive does not necessarily mean doing so openly. Many are the hands that are absent tangible bindings yet are nonetheless bound.

*(Question for you: how free are our hands to resist the advance of Islam, which is the goal of all Good Muslims? Is our “friendship with Muhammad” possibly limiting our freedom and ability to resist the will of “Muhammad”?)*

As we read in the previous chapter, the Prophet was emphatic about his own not accepting aid from infidels. Good pure Muslims follow the Prophets orders. Hence, since they are accepting our “aid,” seems we ought to know, just why.

Reason is, the Prophet made an exception to that prohibition.

Because the goal of Islam was and is to spread Islam’s rule across the globe, by hook or by crook. Thus, all Islamic laws can be adjusted, if need be, if the adjustments serve the cause of Islam, which is, the globe “under Allah and His Messenger, Muhammad.”

Hence, this note by ibn Sa’d (11/1, p. 115): “The Prophet decided . . . to resort to **political weapons** instead of steel and fire and frontal attack.” (*Hamidullah, Battlefields. p. 99*)

What are those political weapons? Chief amongst those, economic pressures, propaganda, and of course, deceit, feigned friendships. (*Ibid.*)

What’s more, Muhammad knew, to accomplish his goal of spreading Islam’s rule, he needed wealth and weapons. Therefore, he established the pattern of luring nations into pacts and alliances. Bringing many first to simply be neutral to him and his by “either embracing Islam” (many, literally, paid handsomely to do so [*Tabari. Vol. IX. p.30, 32, 34, and others*]), or by “making friends with Muhammad.” He sought not only “spiritual” surrender to Islam, but also, and even more so, “political surrender.” (*Hamidullah, Battlefields, p. 102.*)

Then, later, “he struck at the right moment when the enemy would not dare offer any resistance.” (*Hamidullah, Battlefields. p. 79.*)

With “the resources and energies of the enemy preserved intact,” Muhammad and his took as war booty that entire nation. Admitting, “If redirected”—the troops, the armament, the skills (not the least of those, warrior skills), and the wealth of these former enemies of Islam— all, “could be used to the power of the Islamic State.” (Ibid. p. 76-81, 110.11)

Thus tribe, clan, and nation after nation, was shepherded by the Muslims to the point where, “Even if they wanted to organize and offer resistance, it was too late.” (Ibid. p. 84)

Iraq begs we examine, are we being brought and worked to that point via the Iraqi front? It has all the earmarks that would indicate that is exactly what is happening there—not to mention “Palestine,” and elsewhere.

We need to wake to the facts. These are they. Every bit if this comes right out of the books that form true pure good Muslims, such as Maliki.

What I am stating here has nothing to do with Left or Right. It has everything to do with the basics of Islam, realities and facts we had all better level with.

Point of fact is, taking a nation that opposes the rule of Allah, luring that nation into believing they are friends of the Ummah, and thus into a place of neutrality to Islam, is also taking a nation captive.

Such a practice, as we read above, was *sunnah* of the Prophet. Why? Because, as I noted earlier, the “friendship with Muhammad” then binds that nation--It annuls their inclination, robs them of their will, and thus of their ability to resist.

In other words, that “friendship with Muhammad” binds the hands of a nation. Rendering that nation, as helpless to defend itself against the forward and furtive steady advancing of the will of the followers of Muhammad, just as sure, as if all in that nation, from the greathearted to the unaware, were the tethered, gagged and bound captives physically held hostage by the true Muslims.

All too soon then comes the day, they too, must accept their humiliation, “embrace Islam.” Or, humbled and subjugated, agree to pay, and then fork over to Muhammad and his, the poll tax, thereby purchase safety from them.

As these ahadith clearly state:

*This is the ordinance of God . . . He who pays [the poll tax] has the protection of God and His Messenger, and he who withholds it is the enemy of God, His Messenger, and all the faithful. (Tabari, Vol. IX. p. 87.)*

*We are the Helpers of God and the viziers of His Messenger, and we fight people until they believe in God. He who believes in God and His Messenger has protected his life and his possessions [from us], as for one who disbelieves, we will fight him forever in the cause of God and killing him is a small matter to us. (Ibid. p. 69.)*

Thus was the way of the Prophet and of the early “pious” of Islam--whom all Muslims are to mimic. The way Ali b. Abi Talib demonstrated, dispatched by the Prophet to bring others to accept Islam—“he [Ali and his army (*sariyyah*)] raided them and took captives and seized [their] . . . swords.” (Ibid. p. 62, 3.)

That is the plan of attack: raid a nation, rob her blind, take her captive, and seize her weapons; do it literally, or, if a frontal attack proves unwise, then do so politically.

But just do it--follow the sunnah, the hadith of the Prophet (And that is just what good Muslim do).

For that reason, as much as Allah disdains his own allying themselves with non-Muslims, Allah annuls that rule, and allows his followers to ally themselves with unbelievers, taking them as friends and allies, under the following condition and for the following reason—but only under this reason.

In short, if, in the end, it benefits the cause of Islam, which is, bringing all to proclaim, be it audibly or tacitly, Allah is greatest and His Prophet is Muhammad, then, Allah allowed his Ummah to make alliances with unbelievers. Thus, once more, I yield to the learned and highly revered scholar of Islam, Ibn Kathir, as he expounds on and clarifies the meaning of Surah 3 ayat 28 (as well as other ayah, which we will shortly dive into, on this same prohibition), and he does so, well versed on and thus applying the “Koranic” and hadith text:

### *Prohibition of Supporting the Disbelievers*

.....

*([take not unbelievers as friends or allies] **unless you indeed fear a danger from them**) meaning, except those believers who in some areas or times fear for their safety from the disbelievers. **In this case, such believers are allowed to show friendship to the disbelievers outwardly, but never inwardly. For instance, Al-Bukhari recorded that Abu Ad-Darda' said, "We smile in the face of some people although our hearts curse them."** Al-Bukhari said that Al-Hasan said, "The Tuqyah is allowed until the Day of Resurrection."*

*Tugyah* is in short dissimulation.

(<http://www.al--slam.org/encyclopedia/chapter6b/1.html>)

Dissimulation, according to the American Dictionary is “To disguise (one's intentions, for example) under a feigned appearance.” It is “To change so as to conceal the true identity or character of [something or some intent].” It is to mislead others by falsifying ones true intentions. In other words, it is deception--it is Allah allowed deception.

Now, with that in mind, back to one time Allah allows his own to take unbelievers as friends and allies.

Because we are, largely, a non-Islamic nation, that means, as long as the US remains non-Islamic, Allah only allows anyone who claims to be a Muslim (which includes Maliki), to take us as an ally, if he does so for the above reason, and exercising then the above noted dissimulation. In other words, Muhammad made the above acts of dissimulation and feigned befriending of non-Muslims permissible because they are acts, as we touched on earlier, that are steeds or stratagems of war.

It is just what it appears to be--deception. And Deception, as confirmed throughout the Sira and the ahadith is central to Islam. The Prophet spelled it out:

#### [USC-MSA Compendium of Muslim Texts](#)

*Volume 4, Book 52, Number 267:*

*Narrated Abu Huraira:*

*The Prophet said, "**Khosrau will be ruined, and there will be no Khosrau after him, and Caesar will surely be ruined** and there will be no Caesar after him, and **you will spend their treasures in Allah's Cause.**" He called, "**War is deceit**".*

(Worth noting, we are now what Caesar and Khosrau were in Muhammad's day, the Super Power still standing, yet to be unseated by the squadrons of Muhammad.)

---

*Volume 4, Book 52, Number 268:*

*Narrated Abu Huraira:*

*Allah's Apostle called, "War is deceit".*

---

*Volume 4, Book 52, Number 269:*

*Narrated Jabir bin 'Abdullah:*

*The Prophet said, "War is deceit."*

In the ahadith mentioned earlier, as we saw, repeatedly, it was *sunnah* of the prophet to hide his intent. It was also *sunnah* for him to have fifth columns secretly placed in high places of those who opposed him--those he sought to overthrow.

Hence, these ahadith:

[USC-MSA Compendium of Muslim Texts](#)

*Book 14, Number 2631:*

*Narrated Ka'b ibn Malik:*

*When the Prophet (peace be upon him) intended to go on an expedition, he always pretended to be going somewhere else, and he would say: War is deception.*

[USC-MSA Compendium of Muslim Texts](#)

*Translation of Sahih Muslim, Book 19:*

***The Book of Jihad and Expedition (Kitab Al-Jihad wa'l-Siyar)***

***Chapter 5: JUSTIFICATION FOR THE USE OF STRATAGEM IN WAR***

---

*Book 019, Number 4311:*

*It is narrated on the authority of Jabir that the Messenger of Allah (may peace be upon him) said: War is a stratagem.*

What is a stratagem?

**strat-a-gem** (strat-jm)n. **1. A military maneuver designed to deceive or surprise an enemy.** **2. A clever, often underhand scheme for achieving an objective.**

Recall, Men, according to Allah's word, we are his enemies. As such, we are the ones on whom all good Muslims will use this weapon of deception. They will use "clever, often underhand schemes," to aid them in their jihad and in accomplishing the goal of that jihad; which goal, of course, is making the religion of Allah the supreme law around the globe.

Hence, this in the Shariah Law:

#### **PERMISSIBLE LYING**

*This much is related by both Bukhari and Muslim, [revered scholars of Islam, authors of the most widely accepted Sunnah])*

*"I did not hear him permit untruth in anything people say, **except for three things: war,**" settling disagreements, and a man talking with his wife or she with him (A: in smoothing over differences)."*

*This is **an explicit statement that lying is sometimes permissible for a given interest,** scholars having established criteria defining what types of it are lawful. The **best analysis of it I have seen is by Imam Abu Hamid Ghazali,** who says, "**Speaking is a means to achieve objectives. If a praiseworthy aim is attainable through both telling the truth and lying, it is unlawful to accomplish through lying because there is no need for it . . . it is permissible to lie if attaining the goal is permissible** (N: i.e. **when the purpose of lying is to circumvent someone who is preventing one from doing something permissible**), **and obligatory to lie if the goal is obligatory.***

*(Min Keller, Reliance, . . . : The Classic Manual of Islamic Sacred Law . pp. 744-745.)*

That is right out of the Law of Allah. Jihad, Sir, is obligatory. Thus, as stated in the above excerpt, lying in jihad is permissible, if it helps the Ummah achieve their goals, goals, which are obligatory.

That same book provides examples of when the Muslim is supposed to lie. They include, “when one is concealing a Muslim from an oppressor who asks where he is, it is obligatory to lie about him being hidden.” (Ibid.)

That means, General, all the Muslims in Iraq are Allah charged to hide those fighting against the US troops you command. They are all Allah charged to hide them, and then, when you ask them for information, it is their Islamic duty to misinform you and your troops.

You can hand out candy until we run plum out of it, give out pencils until we render ourselves treeless, yet this law remains written on the hearts, and stands firm in the same, of all who accept as their map for life the Islamic Sacred text.

This is not just a cultural you are dealing with, Sir, nor is it just another religion, it is, just what I stated: as a masterful war plan--a war plan, mind you, which you are ignoring the very existence of.

A war plan, recall, for world domination to belong to the followers of the Mohammedan traditions.

We had better wake to reality. Stupidly, with our heads in the sand, refusing to regard the facts on Islam and on this enemy, we spend and we spend, and we spend, and we spend precious lives.

Shame on us. Shame on our cowards claiming the title of leaders. Shame on our nation for suffering such stupidity, at best, in our leaders.

One more instance in which you need to be aware Muslims are Allah-obligated to misinform you and your troops on is if a Muslim has hidden something somewhere for safekeeping (as in weapons or cash), and when “an oppressor . . . inquires about it, it is obligatory to lie about having concealed it.” That goes on to state, that if one informs, and the property is confiscated, then the one who did the informing is financially liable for that that was confiscated. (*Min Keller, Reliance. Ibid.*)

There is more:

***Whether the purpose is war, settling a disagreement, or gaining the sympathy of a victim legally entitled to retaliate against one so that he will forbear to do so; it is not unlawful to lie when any of these aims can only be attained through lying. (Ibid.)***

Not that we would dare regard the facts on this, nonetheless, here's one more source showing the permissibility of lying; this *hadith*, from the *Sunnah* :

[USC-MSA Compendium of Muslim Texts](#)

[Translation of Sahih Muslim, Book 32: The Book of Virtue, Good Manners and Joining of the Ties of Relationship \(Kitab Al-Birr was-Salat-I-wa'l-Adab\)](#)

*Chapter 25: FORBIDDANCE OF TELLING A LIE AND THE CASES IN WHICH TELLING OF LIE IS PERMISSIBLE*

---

*Book 032, Number 6303:*

*. . . A liar is not one who tries to bring reconciliation amongst people and **speaks good (in order to avert dispute)**, or he conveys good. Ibn Shihab **said he did not hear that exemption was granted in anything what the people speak as lie but in three cases: in battle. . .** ).*

---

Deception is Islamic. Deceit is war; war is jihad. And Islam is the war plan for all Muslims to follow as they do their part in jihad. Deception is one of the chief weapons of war, they are ever to wield as they execute the war plan called "Islam," which means, submission to Allah and to his Messenger.

We are nothing more than the damndest fools who ever stood upright to pretend these facts are not so.

### **Next Chapter: Islam, the War Plan.**

I had hoped to have this Manual completed before releasing any of it. Nonetheless, given the daily slaughtering of our sons for the sake of Allah, as they die, tending to missions that make them sitting ducks and easy prey for the devotees to Allah, I release the sections that had complete, the morning of 15 March 2007.

I will release the remaining portions of this manual as soon as time allows me to.

D. L. Brand